Germline Cancer Predisposition Panel

Test Description
The MolQ Germline Cancer Predisposition Panel include genes associated with both common and rare hereditary cancers.

Clinician
Clinician Name: Dr Amit Verma
Medical Facility: Max Hospital
Pathologist: Not Provided

Patient Demographic
Name: **TRICHUR RAJALAKSHMI**
Sex: Female
Date of Birth/Age: 53 years
Disease: Carcinoma Left Breast

Specimen
Booking ID: 012107200244
Site: NA
Sample Type: Peripheral Blood (EDTA)
Date of Collection: 20-07-21
Date of Booking: 20-07-21

CLINICAL SYNOPSIS

Trichur Rajalakshmi, is a case of carcinoma left breast and has been evaluated for pathogenic variations in the genes

listed in Appendix 1.

RESULTS

NO PATHOGENIC OR LIKELY PATHOGENIC VARIANTS CAUSATIVE OF THE REPORTED PHENOTYPE WERE DETECTED

CLINICAL CORRELATION AND VARIANT INTERPRETATION

No significant variants that warrants to be reported was detected. Variations with high minor allele frequencies whichare likely to be benign will be given upon request

RECOMMENDATIONS

- The sensitivity of NGS based assays to detect large heterozygous deletions/duplications is low and an alternate method is recommended.

- Genetic counselling is advised.

Jatinder Kaur, PhD
Head, Molecular Biology & Genomics

Dr. Gulshan Yadav, MD
Head, Pathology

MolQ Laboratory (A Unit of Molecular Quest Healthcare Pvt. Ltd.)
Reference Laboratory: 28-29, Sector-18 (P) I Gurgaon, Haryana, 122015 I Phone 0124 - 4307906, Fax 0124 - 4278596 I Email: contact@molq.in
P a g e | 1

TEST METHODOLOGY

Method

Targeted gene sequencing: Selective capture and sequencing of the protein coding regions of the genome/genes is performed. Mutations identified in the exonic regions are generally actionable compared to variations that occur in non-coding regions. Targeted sequencing represents a cost-effective approach to detect variants present in multiple/large genes in an individual. In this comprehensive hereditary cancer panel, in addition to complete Coding segment (CDS) of 127 genes, promoter regions of relevant genes and critical other non-coding / coding pathogenic variants <100 bp documented in the ClinVar, HGMD, BRCA Exchange and LOVD databases mapping to the targeted genes are also included[1-4]. Additionally, there is an enhanced CNV coverage for better detection of CNVs. This panel provides a comprehensive and robust approach to identify SNV's, Indels and CNVs through single test.

DNA extracted from sample was used to perform targeted gene capture using a custom capture kit. The libraries were sequenced to mean >80- 100X coverage on Illumina sequencing platform. We follow the GATK best practices framework for identification of variants in the sample using Sentieon (v201808.07)[5]. The sequences obtained are aligned to human reference genome (GRCh38.p13) using Sentieon aligner[5,6] and analyzed using Sentieon for removing duplicates, recalibration and re-alignment of indels[5]. Sentieon haplotype caller has been used to identify variants which are relevant to the clinical indication. Gene annotation of the variants is performed using VEP program[7] against the Ensemble release 99 human gene model[8]. Copy number variants (CNVs) are detected from targeted sequence data using the ExomeDepth (v1.1.10) method, a coverage based approach[9]. This algorithm detects rare CNVs based on comparison of the read-depths of the test data with the matched aggregate reference dataset and the overall sensitivity of CNV surveillance through ExomeDepth was found to be 97%[10]. In reference laboratory internal validation experiments on MLPA verified samples, >80-90% sensitivity was achieved for detecting CNVs .

Clinically relevant mutations were annotated using published variants in literature and a set of diseases databases - ClinVar, OMIM (updated on 11th May 2020), GWAS, HGMD (v2020.2), LOVD, BRCA Exchange and SwissVar[1-4, 11-13]. Common variants are filtered based on allele frequency in 1000Genome Phase 3, gnomAD (v2.1), EVS, dbSNP (v151), 1000 Japanese Genome and reference laboratory internal Indian population database[14-18]. Non-synonymous variants effect is calculated using multiple algorithms such as PolyPhen-2, SIFT, MutationTaster2 and LRT. Only non-synonymous, splice site and critical non-coding variants found in the hereditary cancer panel genes were used for clinical interpretation. Silent variations that do not result in any change in amino acid in the coding region are not reported.

Total data generated (Gb)	0.3595
Total reads aligned (%)	99.99
Reads that passed alignment (%)	92.82
Data Q30 (%)	96.20

$The classification of the variations is done based on American College of Medical Genetics as described below[19]

Variant	A change in a gene. This could be disease causing (pathogenic) or not disease causing (benign).
Pathogenic	A disease causing variation in a gene which can explain the patient's symptoms has been detected. This usually means that a suspected disorder for which testing had been requested has been confirmed.
Likely Pathogenic	A variant which is very likely to contribute to the development of disease however, the scientific evidence is currently insufficient to prove this conclusively. Additional evidence is expected to confirm this assertion of pathogenicity.
Variant of Uncertain Significance	A variant has been detected, but it is difficult to classify it as either pathogenic (disease causing) or benign (non-disease causing) based on current available scientific evidence. Further testing of the patient or family members as recommended by your clinician may be needed. It is probable that their significance can be assessed only with time, subject to availability of scientific evidence.

#The transcript used for clinical reporting generally represents the canonical transcript, which is usually the longest coding transcript with strong/multiple supporting evidence. However, clinically relevant variants annotated in alternate complete coding transcripts could also be reported. Variants annotated on incomplete and nonsense mediated decay transcripts will not be reported.

#The *in-silico* predictions are based on Variant Effect Predictor, Ensembl release 99 (SIFT version - 5.2.2; PolyPhen - 2.2.2); bdNSFPv4.0 (LRT version –

MolQ Laboratory (A Unit of Molecular Quest Healthcare Pvt. Ltd.)
Reference Laboratory: 28-29, Sector-18 (P) I Gurgaon, Haryana, 122015 I Phone 0124 - 4307906, Fax 0124 - 4278596   I Email: contact@molq.in
P a g e | 2

December 5, 2019) and Mutation Taster2 (MT2). MutationTaster2 predictions are based on NCBI/Ensembl 66 build (GRCh38 genomic coordinates are converted to hg19 using UCSC LiftOver and mapped to MT2).

For any further technical queries please contact contact@molq.in.

DISCLAIMER

- Interpretation of variants in this report is performed to the best knowledge of the laboratory based on the information available at the time of reporting. The classification of variants can change over time and MolQ cannot be held responsible for this. Please feel free to contact MolQ Laboratory (contact@molq.in) in the future to determine if there have been any changes in the classification of any variations. Re-analysis of variants in previously issued reports in light of new evidence is not routinely performed, but may be available upon request.
- The sensitivity of this assay to detect large deletions/duplications of more than 10 bp or copy number variations (CNV) is 80-90%. The CNVs detected have to be confirmed by alternate method.
- Due to inherent technology limitations of the assay, not all bases of the exome can be covered by this test. Accordingly, variants in regions of insufficient coverage may not be identified and/or interpreted. Therefore, it is possible that pathogenic variants are present in one or more of the genes analyzed, but have not been detected. The variants not detected by the assay that was performed may impact the phenotype.
- It is also possible that a pathogenic variant is present in a gene that was not selected for analysis and/or interpretation in cases where insufficient phenotypic information is available.
- Genes with pseudogenes, paralog genes and genes with low complexity may have decreased sensitivity and specificity of variant detection and interpretation due to inability of the data and analysis tools to unambiguously determine the origin of the sequence data in such regions.
- The mutations have not been validated/confirmed by Sanger sequencing.
- Incidental or secondary findings (if any) that meet the ACMG guidelines[20] can be given upon request.
- The report shall be generated within turnaround time (TAT), however, such TAT may vary depending upon the complexity of test(s) requested. MolQ Laboratory under no circumstances will be liable for any delay beyond afore mentioned TAT.
- It is hereby clarified that the report(s) generated from the test(s) do not provide any diagnosis or opinion or recommends any cure in any manner. MolQ Laboratory hereby recommends the patient and/or the guardians of the patients, as the case may be, to take assistance of the clinician or a certified physician or doctor, to interpret the report(s) thus generated. MolQ Laboratory hereby disclaims all liability arising in connection with the report(s).
- In a very few cases genetic test may not show the correct results, e.g. because of the quality of the material provided to MolQ Laboratory. In case where any test provided by MolQ Laboratory fails for unforeseeable or unknown reasons that cannot be influenced by MolQ Laboratory in advance, MolQ Laboratory shall not be responsible for the incomplete, potentially misleading or even wrong result of any testing if such could not be recognized by MolQ Laboratory in advance.
- This is a laboratory developed test and the development and the performance characteristics of this test was determined by reference laboratory.

LIMITATIONS

- Genetic testing is an important part of the diagnostic process. However, genetic tests may not always give a definitive answer. In some cases, testing may not identify a genetic variant even though one exists. This may be due to limitations in current medical knowledge or testing technology. Accurate interpretation of test results may require knowing the true biological relationships in a family. Failing to accurately state the biological relationships in {my/my child's} family may result in incorrect interpretation of results, incorrect diagnoses, and/or inconclusive test results.
- Test results are interpreted in the context of clinical findings, family history and other laboratory data. Only variations in genes potentially related to the proband's medical condition are reported. Rare polymorphisms may lead to false negative or positive results. Misinterpretation of results may occur if the information provided is inaccurate or incomplete.
- Structural variants such as deletions/duplications (CNVs) reported through NGS assay needs to be confirmed by orthogonal method to rule out the possibility of false positives. Translocations, repeat expansions and chromosomal rearrangements are not detected through this assay.
- Genetic testing is highly accurate. Rarely, inaccurate results may occur for various reasons. These reasons include, but are not limited to: mislabelled samples, inaccurate reporting of clinical/medical information, rare technical errors or unusual circumstances such as bone marrow transplantation, blood transfusion; or the presence of change(s) in such a small percentage of cells that may not be detectable by the test (mosaicism).
- The variant population allele frequencies and in silico predictions for GRCh38 version of the Human genome is obtained

MolQ Laboratory (A Unit of Molecular Quest Healthcare Pvt. Ltd.)

Reference Laboratory: 28-29, Sector-18 (P) I Gurgaon, Haryana, 122015 I Phone 0124 - 4307906, Fax 0124 - 4278596 I Email: contact@molq.in

P a g e | 3

after lifting over the coordinates from hg19 genome build. The existing population allele frequencies (1000Genome, gnomAD-Exome) are currently available for hg19 genome version only. This might result in some discrepancies in variant annotation due to the complex changes in some regions of the genome.

REFERENCES

1. Richards S. et al., Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology, Genet Med., 17(5):405-24, 2015.

2. http://pfam.xfam.org/protein/P40692

3. Landrum M. J. et al., ClinVar: public archive of interpretations of clinically relevant variants. Nucleic Acids Res., 44(D1):D862-8, 2015.

4. Freed D. et al., The Sentieon Genomics Tools-A fast and accurate solution to variant calling from next-generation sequence data. BioRxiv:115717, 2017.

5. Li H. et al., Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics, 26(5):589-95, 2010.

6. McLaren W. et al., Deriving the consequences of genomic variants with the Ensembl API and SNP Effect Predictor. Bioinformatics, 26(16):2069-70, 2010.

7. Zerbino D. R. et al., Ensembl 2018. Nucleic Acids Res., 46(D1):D754-D761, 2018.

8. Plagnol V. et al., A robust model for read count data in exome sequencing experiments and implications for copy number variant calling. Bioinformatics, 28:2747-2754, 2012.

9. Ellingford JM, et al., Validation of copy number variation analysis for next-generation sequencing diagnostics. Eur J Hum Genet., 25(6):719-724, 2017.

10. Landrum M. J. et al., ClinVar: public archive of interpretations of clinically relevant variants. Nucleic Acids Res., 44(D1):D862-8, 2015.

11. McKusick V.A., Mendelian Inheritance in Man. A Catalog of Human Genes and Genetic Disorders. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press (12th edition), 1998.

12. Welter D. et al., The NHGRI GWAS Catalog, a curated resource of SNP-trait associations. Nucleic Acids Res., 42:D1001-1006, 2014.

13. Stenson P. D. et al., The Human Gene Mutation Database: towards a comprehensive repository of inherited mutation data for medical research, genetic diagnosis and next-generation sequencing studies. Human Genet., 136(6): 665-677, 201.

14. Mottaz A. et al., Easy retrieval of single amino-acid polymorphisms and phenotype information using SwissVar. Bioinformatics, 26(6): 851-852, 2010.

15. Fokkema IF, Taschner PE, Schaafsma GC, et al., LOVD v.2.0: the next generation in gene variant databases. Hum Mutat., 32(5):557-63, 2011.

16. Cline, Melissa S et al. BRCA Challenge: BRCA Exchange as a global resource for variants in BRCA1 and BRCA2. PLoS genetics vol. 14,12 e1007752. 26 Dec. 2018, doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1007752.

17. 1000 Genomes Project Consortium et al., A global reference for human genetic variation. Nature, 526(7571): 68-74, 2015.

18. Karczewski, K.J., Francioli, L.C., Tiao, G. et al. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. Nature 581, 434–443 (2020).

19. Exome Variant Server, NHLBI GO Exome Sequencing Project (ESP), Seattle, WA (URL: http://evs.gs.washington.edu/EVS/).

20. Nagasaki, M. et al., Rare variant discovery by deep whole-genome sequencing of 1,070 Japanese individuals. Nat. Commun., 6:8018, 2015.

21. Sherry S. T. et al., dbSNP: the NCBI database of genetic variation. Nucleic Acids Res., 29(1):308-11, 2001.

22. Richards S. *et al.*, Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology, Genet Med., 17(5):405-24, 2015.

13. Kalia S.S. et al., Recommendations for reporting of secondary findings in clinical exome and genome sequencing, 2016 update (ACMG SF v2.0): a policy statement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics. Genet Med., 19(2):249-255, 2017.

MolQ Laboratory (A Unit of Molecular Quest Healthcare Pvt. Ltd.)
Reference Laboratory: 28-29, Sector-18 (P) I Gurgaon, Haryana, 122015 I Phone 0124 - 4307906, Fax 0124 - 4278596 I Email: contact@molq.in
P a g e | 4

APPENDIX 1:COVERAGE OF HEREDITARY CANCER PANEL GENES

Gene	Percentage of coding region covered	Gene	Percentage of coding region covered	Gene	Percentage of coding region covered
ABRAXAS1	100.00	AIP	100.00	ALK	100.00
APC	100.00	AR	100.00	ATM	100.00
AXIN2	100.00	BAP1	100.00	BARD1	100.00
BLM	100.00	BMPR1A	100.00	BRCA1	100.00
BRCA2	100.00	BRIP1	100.00	BUB1B	96.70
CBL	97.02	CDC73	100.00	CDH1	100.00
CDK4	100.00	CDKN1B	100.00	CDKN1C	100.00
CDKN2A	100.00	CHEK2	100.00	CTNNA1	100.00
CYLD	100.00	DDB2	100.00	DICER1	100.00
DIS3L2	100.00	ELAC2	100.00	EPCAM	100.00
ERCC2	100.00	ERCC3	100.00	ERCC4	100.00
ERCC5	100.00	EXT1	100.00	EXT2	100.00
FAN1	100.00	FANCA	100.00	FANCB	100.00
FANCC	100.00	FANCD2	100.00	FANCE	100.00
FANCF	100.00	FANCG	100.00	FANCI	100.00
FANCL	100.00	FANCM	100.00	FH	100.00
FLCN	100.00	GALNT12	100.00	GATA2	100.00
GPC3	100.00	HOXB13	100.00	HRAS	100.00
KIF1B	100.00	KIT	100.00	LZTR1	100.00
MAX	100.00	MEN1	100.00	MET	100.00
MITF	100.00	MLH1	100.00	MLH3	100.00
MRE11	100.00	MSH2	100.00	MSH3	100.00
MSH6	100.00	MSR1	100.00	MUTYH	100.00
NBN	100.00	NF1	100.00	NF2	100.00
NTHL1	100.00	PALB2	100.00	PAX5	100.00
PDGFRA	100.00	PHOX2B	100.00	PMS1	100.00
PMS2	100.00	PRKAR1A	100.00	PRSS1	100.00
PTCH1	100.00	PTCH2	100.00	PTEN	100.00
RAD50	100.00	RAD51C	100.00	RAD51D	100.00
RB1	100.00	RECQL	100.00	RECQL4	100.00
RET	100.00	RHBDF2	100.00	RINT1	100.00
RNASEL	100.00	RNF43	100.00	RUNX1	100.00
SBDS	100.00	SDHA	100.00	SDHAF2	100.00
SDHB	100.00	SDHC	100.00	SDHD	82.23
SLC45A2	100.00	SLX4	100.00	SMAD4	100.00
SMARCB1	100.00	SMARCE1	100.00	SRGAP1	100.00
STK11	100.00	SUFU	100.00	TERT	100.00
TGFBR2	100.00	TMEM127	100.00	TP53	100.00
TSC1	100.00	TSC2	100.00	TYR	100.00
VHL	100.00	WRN	100.00	WT1	100.00
XPA	100.00	XPC	100.00	XRCC1	100.00
XRCC3	100.00				

MolQ Laboratory (A Unit of Molecular Quest Healthcare Pvt. Ltd.)

Reference Laboratory: 28-29, Sector-18 (P) I Gurgaon, Haryana, 122015 I Phone 0124 - 4307906, Fax 0124 - 4278596 I Email: contact@molq.in

P a g e | 5